

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/758,395 01/		01/12/2001	Charilaos Christopoulos	040000-844	9141
27045	7590	08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
ERICSSON 6300 LEGAC				OSMAN, I	RAMY M
M/S EVR CI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLANO, TX 75024				2157	
		•		DATE MAILED: 08/23/200	•

# Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 741 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 741 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571) 272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at (703) 305-8283.

RECEIVED OIPE/IAP

SEP 0 1 2005



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

# CORRECTED NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

27045 7590 ERICSSON INC. 6300 LEGACY DRIVE M/S EVR C11 PLANO, TX 75024 08/23/2005

EXAMINER
OSMAN, RAMY M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2157

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/758,395	01/12/2001	Charilaos Christopoulos	040000-844	9141

TITLE OF INVENTION: INTERACTIVE ACCESS, MANIPULATION, SHARING AND EXCHANGE OF MULTIMEDIA DATA

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE	PUBLICATION FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1400	\$300	\$1700	08/25/2005

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. NEITHER A NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE NOR A CORRECTED NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND ANY PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THE THREE MONTH PERIOD BEGINNING ON THE MAILING DATE OF THE PREVIOUSLY-MAILED NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND ENDING ON THE DATE DUE SHOWN ON THIS FORM, OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. MAILING OF THIS CORRECTED NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE DOES NOT CHANGE THE DATE DUE OF THE ISSUE FEE (AND ANY REQUIRED PUBLICATION FEE). IF A REPLY (WITH PAYMENT OF THE ISSUE FEE AND ANY PUBLICATION FEE) WAS FILED IN RESPONSE TO THE PREVIOUSLY-MAILED NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE, THEN NO FURTHER REPLY IS REQUIRED FROM APPLICANT.

All communications regarding this application must include the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE, unless advised to the contrary.

, ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/758,395	CHRISTOPOULOS	ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ramy M. Osman	2157	
		<u> </u>	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS therewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIP of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include will be mailed in due o	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. $igotimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>RCE filed on 2/25/20</u>	<u>05</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>48-66 and 68</u> .			
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on <u>20 August 2004</u> are accepted by the	Examiner.		
<ol> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>	e been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li></ol>	e been received in Application No	· ·	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do</li></ol>	cuments have been received in this	national stage applicat	ion from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the req	uirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			OTICE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing R <mark>ev</mark> iew ( PTO	-948) attached	
1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	i.84(c)) should be written on the drawi the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(	ngs in the front (not the d).	back) of
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>			lote the
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTC	)-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ⊠ Intervi <b>ew</b> Summary Paper No./Mail Da		
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date</li> </ol>			
4.   Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🗋 Examiner's Statem	ent of Reasons for Allo	wance
of Biological Material	9. ☐ Other  SUPERVIS	ARIO ETIENNE ORY PATENT EXAMINE	, 
	TECHE!	UNY PAIENT EXAMINE	:n
		AC.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04)

**Notice of Allowability** 

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 6



Application/Control Number: 09/758,395

Art Unit: 2157

Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is supplemental Notice of Allowance to correct minor informalities of dependent claims 62 and 67.

2. Claims 48-68 are allowed.

#### **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

- 3. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Attorney Sidney Weatherford (Reg. No. 495,602) on August 12, 2005. See Interview Summary
- 4. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 62. (Amended) The multimedia system of Claim 61, wherein the transcoder is capable of communicating with each of the first and second terminal devices over a network connection.

## Claim 67. (cancelled)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramy M. Osman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar	09/758,395	CHRISTOPOULOS ET AL.
Zammo, induted interview duminar	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ramy M. Osman	2157
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Ramy M. Osman</u> .	(3)	
(2) Sidney Weatherford (Reg. No. 495,602)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 August 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	Applicant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	)	•
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 62, 67		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Examiner Osman informed Mr. Weatherford of claim infor be sent to resolve the informalities. Claim 62 will be americally resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the Notice of Allowability.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set	rmalities in dependent claims 62 and and claim 67 will be cancelled parate record of the substance of the examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of the substanc	of the interview, since the interview of the interview, since the interview of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

# **Summary of Record of Interview Requirements**

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

# Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner, (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

## **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

TC2100 RANDOLPH

Organization

P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

